

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,888		12/07/2001	Kazuyuki Sato	04329.2704	7604
22852	7590	07/26/2006	•	EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER				ELAMIN, ABDELMONIEM I	
LLP					
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON DC	20001 4413		2116	

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/004,888	SATO, KAZUYUKI		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Abdelmoniem Elamin	2116		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app				
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	· ·				
	•—	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) 1-6 and 8 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 1-6 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 8 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r.	Evaminor		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the objec	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/004,888 Page 2

Art Unit: 2116

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daddis et al, US. Pat. No. 6,806,972 in view of Saito, US. Pat. No. 5,867,579 (both cited in previous office actions).
- 3. Claim 8, Daddis teaches a hardware management apparatus comprising:

first storage means [non-volatile memory, col. 4, line 47] for storing first management information [col. 4, lines 47-48] used to manage a state of hardware upon building a system (col. 4, lines 45-47];

second storage means, provided independently from said first storage means, for storing second management information indicating a current state of the hardware [the new configuration has to be stored I a memory independent fro the first memory in order to compare it with the configuration stored in the non-volatile memory, see col. 4, lines I1-17];

management information storage means for, when the state of the hardware is changed after the system was built, storing the second management information indicating the current state of the hardware in said second storage means [col. 4, lines I1-17]; and

display means for displaying a changed state of the hardware on the basis of the first: management information stored in said first storage and the second storage means. Daddis fails to teach an IC card having intellectual property information and a management area for storing management information used to manage the intellectual property information.

Saito teaches a system for data copyright management system [title] comprising an IC card having intellectual property information and a management area for storing management information used to manage the intellectual property information [abstract, see also EEPROM 31 of Fig. 4 and related disclosure].

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Daddis to include an IC card having intellectual property information and a management area for storing management information used to manage the intellectual property information, because it protects copyrights.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-6 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abdelmoniem Elamin whose telephone number is 571-2727-3674. The examiner can normally be reached on MON - THUR 10:00 AM - 6::00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on 571-272-3670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2116

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Abdelmoniem Elamin Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2116

July 22, 2006